

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/541,516	BIERMANS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	/Frank M. Lawrence/	1797	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowed

 (1) Frank M. Lawrence.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Bryan Davidson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 December 2007
**Time:** 10 am e.t.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

EP '292

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant approved an examiner's amendment to clarify claim 1 by stating that the condensation step is carried out in a condenser separate from the NH3 separation device in order to clearly distinguish over the prior art.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)